DETERMINE WHAT IS TO BE BELIEVED AND WHAT IS NOT TO BE BELIEVED. THAT, TO ME, IS PERHAPS THE STARTING POINT OF YOUR DELIBERATIONS. BEFORE YOU CAN REALLY DISCUSS THE TESTIMONY PART OF THE EVIDENCE, I THINK YOU HAVE TO PASS UPON THE CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES. WE HAVE HAD 28 INCLUDING THE DEFENDANT, WHO IS A WITNESS, AND YOU HAVE TO PASS UPON CREDIBILITY, IS THIS PERSON TO BE BELIEVED, AND IF THE PERSON IS TO BE BELIEVED, IS ALL OF THE TESTIMONY TO BE BELIEVED OR ONLY A PORTION OF THE TESTIMONY TO BE BELIEVED.

IT BE ALL OF

THE TESTIMONY OR SOME OF THE TESTIMONY, IS THAT PORTION, IF

12 BELIEVED, REALLY IMPORTANT

SO MY SUGGESTION TO YOU IS, FIRST

OF ALL, AS TO THE AREAS OF THE WITNESSES, TO DETERMINE WHO IS TELLING THE TRUTH AND WHAT PORTION OF THE TESTIMONY IS TRUTHFUL AND THEN, SECONDLY, HAVING MADE THAT DETERMINATION, FIND OUT FROM THAT BELIEVED CREDIBLE TESTIMONY WHAT FACTS ARE

18 IMPORTANT TO YOU

> T WITH THE WITNESSES UPON WHICH TO BASE YOUR DELIBERATIONS. AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT YOU USE THE SAME PROCESS TTEME OF EVIDENCE AS YOU STAD IT

22 PRACT!

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

ADDED COMMENTARY

PROSECUTOR RIGHTLY EMPHASIZES HOW CRITICAL IS "CREDIBILITY" TO THE VERDICT, AS THE WHOLE FOUNDATION OF DELIBERATIONS

ΒE

ANT

YOU

SHOULI

FACTS

GIVEN. YOU

27 WILL HAVE THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS WITH YOU.

THEM, I HAVE CHARTED THEM WITH YOU. YOU WILL SEE THE

EXHIBIT 7 PAGES

28

DEFENDA

TESTIMONY OF TWO EYE WITNESSES WHO SAID THAT BB GUN DID NOT GO INTO THE GARAGE AND I SAW THE DEFENDANT PICK IT UP, OR THE DEFENDANT WHO SAYS TO YOU I ASSUMED IT WENT INTO THE GARAGE? WHICH HAS MORE CONVINCING FORCE?

7

5

6

1

3

8 BELON ADDED COMMENTARY 9 MID-(PROSECUTOR TELLS THE JURY THIS WHILE KNOW-10 LOCK ING THAT HE HIMSELF HAD DESTROYED THE ATTACKER'S FINGERPRINTS WHICH PROVED HE 11 SPECI HAD THE GUN, (NOT TO MENTION THE MEAT 12 LOCK, CLEAVER). EITHER ONE WAS SUFFICIENT FOR SELF-DEFENSE ACQUITTAL. (SEE EXHIBIT A) BRIEF 13

SAW

THAT

NT'S

THE

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

DEFEN

WHAT I AM SAYING TO YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS YOU SAW THE DEMEANOR AND THE MANNER IN WHICH THOSE TWO GENTLEMEN TESTIFIED, MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS. YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE YOUR JUDGMENT. THEIR TESTIMONY ALONE, IN AND OF ITSELF, IS ENOUGH TO MAKE THE DEFENDANT A LIAR AS IT RELATES TO WHAT HAPPENED ON JANUARY 10TH. AND WHEN YOU CONSIDER THE TESTIMONY OF MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS, CONSIDER ANOTHER THING. THEY WERE INTERVIEWED SEPARATE AND APART FROM ONE ANOTHER REGARDING THE DEFENDANT'S POSSESSION OF THE BB GUN ON DIFFERENT TIMES AND DIFFERENT DATES BY DETECTIVE MC CARTY.

26

SE CONCERN ABOUT

27 28

THE TRUTHFULNESS OF THEIR OBSERVATIONS REGARDING THE DEFENDANT'S SECRETING THAT BB GUN, MR. SCHROEDER WOULD MOST

INTERESTING THAT HE DROPPED HIS KEYS IN POSITION NO. 2, BUT IF HE ACTUALLY HAD A BB GUN AS CLAIMED BY THE DEFENDANT, YOU

ADDED COMMENTARY

TWISTING LAZOR'S SKILL AS A STAGE PERFORM-

ER, INTO HIM BEING A DIS-CREDIBLE "LIAR"

WAS MADE POSSIBLE ONLY BY THE CONCEALED

AIDING THE STATE ACCORDINGLY

ALTERED, DESTROYED EVIDENCE AND SCHROEDER

WOULD

AME

4 LOCAT

1

2

3

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE

5 LOCAT

1ERE

6 THE B

HER.

AND A

WAS

8 ENOUG

THE

THE

10 THE THE

HIM, WHAT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER IS HIS CREDIBILITY, HIS TRUTHFULNESS. NOT THE FACT THAT HE TOOK AN OATH, BUT HIS TRUTHFULNESS, AND YOU HAVE TO MEASURE HIS CREDIBILITY BY THE SAME STANDARDS AS YOU WOULD ANYBODY ELSE. USE THE SAME STANDARDS, WHETHER IT BE A WITNESS WHO IS A SECURITY GUARD, WHETHER IT BE A WITNESS WHO IS A SECURITY GUARD, DETECTIVE FROM THE LOS GATOS POLICE DEPARTMENT, A DEFENDANT, ANYBODY. YOU USE THE SAME STANDARDS.

AND ONE OF THE STANDARDS THAT THE COURT WILL INSTRUCT YOU ABOUT IS THE PERSON'S DEMEANOR WHILE TESTIFYING, THE MANNER IN WHICH THE PERSON TESTIFIES. AND IN PARTICULAR, I WANT YOU TO NOTE THAT DEMEANOR AS IT RELATES TO THE DEFENDANT. WE HAVE GONE THROUGH 28 WITNESSES, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE A FAIR STATEMENT WHEN IT COMES TO PERFORMING, DID MR. LAZOR PERFORM PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF THE PREVIOUS WITNESSES? SURE, HE DID. THE DEFENDANT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS A PERFORMER. HE'S A PROFESSIONAL PERFORMER WHO IS USED TO SHOWS, HE'S USED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

1619

GENTLEMEN, IS TRYING TO SELL HIS CASE. I DON'T FAULT THE DEFENDANT FOR TRYING TO SELL HIS CASE. OBVIOUSLY FROM THE PROSECUTION POINT OF VIEW, WE TRY TO SELL THE CASE, BUT AS IT RELATES TO HIS DEMEANOR, HE OVERSOLD.

THINK ABOUT HIS DEMEANOR, AND WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT HIS DEMEANOR AND THE MANNER IN WHICH HE TESTIFIED, CONSIDER ANOTHER FACTOR THAT YOU WILL BE INSTRUCTED: WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS BIAS OR MOTIVE IN THE TESTIMONY. CLEARLY, THERE WAS. WHEN YOU CONSIDER THE ALL IMPORTANT ISSUE OF THE GUN, THROW DOWN GUN, WHETHER IT WAS REAL OR WHETHER IT WAS CONTRIVED, IF YOU THINK OF ALL THOSE THINGS THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS TOLD YOU, WHEN YOU PUT THOSE TOGETHER WITH THE TESTIMONY OF TWO EYE WITNESSES, MR. WALLIS AND MR. ELLIS, WHO ARE INTER-VIEWED SEPARATELY AND APART AT DIFFERENT TIMES AND DIFFERENT DATES, AND YOU SAY THAT THE TESTIMONY OF A SINGLE WITNESS, MR. LAZOR, MAKES SENSE, I SUBMIT TO YOU IT DOES NOT, IT DOESN'T EVEN COME CLOSE. THE CONCLUSION, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS THAT THE DEFENDANT SHOT AND KILLED MR. ALLRED, WHO WAS IN FACT UNARMED AND IT WAS UNLAWFUL. HE HAD NO RIGHT TO SELF-DEFENSE BECAUSE THERE WAS NO GUN.

23 WHEN YOU THEN TO THE AREA OF MALLEY

WHEN YOU TURN TO THE AREA OF MALICE, YOU HAVE TO ASK YOURSELF

OWN

ADDED COMMENTARY

LEAS:

DID
HE

SAID, WITH A .45 IN HIS RIGHT HAND AND FIRED OFF THE INITIAL

REMEMBER THE

DEFENDANT'S TESTIMONY TO THAT EFFECT? AND MR. SCHROEDER MADE SOME COMMENT ABOUT, WELL, MAYBE MISS HARPER DIDN'T HEAR IT OR WHAT HAVE YOU, AND THEN HE DROPPED IT. AND THERE IS A PARTICULAR REASON WHY HE DROPPED IT: BECAUSE JOAN HARPER DIDN'T HEAR IT, AND WHY DIDN'T JOAN HARPER HEAR IT? BECAUSE IT WASN'T SAID. AGAIN, IT'S THE DEFENDANT PERFORMING FOR YOU AS AN AUDIENCE.

9

10

11

1

2

3 🛭

4 🛮

5

6

7

8

THAT JOAN HARPER IS IN THE TELEPHONE BUSINESS. THAT'S WHAT CHE DECEIVES CALLS cuese HEER TO TAIL ING. SHE DOFS.

> CREDIBILITY, KNOWN TO HIS COMMUNITY AS EXCEPTIONAL, INTO BEING A PROFESSIONALLY

TRAINED "LYING-PERFORMER." ALL CONTRARY EVIDENCE WAS KEPT FROM THE JURY AND COURT

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ADDED COMMENTARY DELIBERATELY HIDDEN EVIDENCE ALLOWED THE PROSECUTOR TO INVERT LAZOR'S OUTSTANDING EMBER

14 PART

LISTE

WHAT

THAT

ATELY

FOLL! 15

DOWN

16 NOTE WAS

17 IMPO HOUGH

SHE CERTAINLY HEARD HIM DEFORE DAY THE WORDS THAT THE 19

DEFENDANT SAID MR. ALLRED HAD STATED. I SUBMIT TO YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THE DEFENDANT IS AGAIN NOT TELLING THE TRUTH.

NOW, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, ANOTHER THING THAT THE DEFENDANT SAID THAT I WANT TO COMMENT ON AS IT RELATES TO THE BB GUN IN PARTICULAR. THE DEFENDANT SAID THAT HE MOVED THE BB GUN AWAY FROM MR. ALLRED A SHORT DISTANCE. I BELIEVE THE WORDS HE USED: A SHORT DISTANCE WITH THIS RAG BECAUSE MR. ALLRED WAS MOVING AROUND, STILL NOT DEAD AND HE DIDN'T WANT THIS BB GUN -- TURNED OUT TO BE A BB GUN -- IN

MR. ALLRED'S POSSESSION BECAUSE THAT WAS BASICALLY THE GUN

28

OR TO

FIED

AND

NON-

NEITHER SIDE IS REQUIRED TO CALL AS WITNESSES ALL PERSONS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN PRESENT AT ANY OF THE EVENTS DISCLOSED BY THE EVIDENCE, OR WHO MAY APPEAR TO HAVE SOME KNOWLEDGE OF THESE EVENTS, OR TO PRODUCE ALL OBJECTS OR DOCUMENTS MENTIONED

EVERY PERSON WHO TESTIFIES UNDER OATH IS A WITNESS. ARE THE SOLE JUDGES OF THE BELIEVABILITY OF A WITNESS AND THE WEIGHT TO BE GIVEN THE TESTIMONY OF EACH WITNESS.

IN DETERMINING THE BELIEVABILITY OF A WITNESS, YOU MAY CONSIDER ANYTHING THAT HAS A TENDENCY IN REASON TO PROVE OR DISPROVE THE TRUTHFULNESS OF THE TESTIMONY OF THE WITNESS

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

OPPORTUNITY OR ABILITY OF THE WITNESS TO SEE OR HEAR OR AR ANY MATTER AROUT WHICH THE WITNESS OTHER

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

ADDED COMMENTARY THIS IS AN ACTUAL JURY INSTRUCTION:

THE C 17

HAS 1

COMML

MANNE

EXIST

ONLY LAZOR'S CREDIBILITY WAS ATTACKED OUT OF 28 WITNESSES, SO THIS INSTRUCTION WAS DIRECTED ONLY AGAINST MR. LAZOR

19

: THE

EXISTENCE UK WITNESS; THE ATTITUDE OF THE WITNESS TOWARD THE ACTION IN WHICH TESTIMONY HAS BEEN GIVEN BY THE WITNESS OR TOWARD THE GIVING OF TESTIMONY; A STATEMENT PREVIOUSLY MADE BY THE

WITNESS THAT IS CONSISTENT OR INCONSISTENT WITH THE TESTIMONY

Elegante martinistica and assessment and a second

24

25

26

27

28

A WITNESS WILLFULLY FALSE IN ONE MATERIAL PART OF HIS YOU MAY REJECT THE TESTIMONY IS TO BE DISTRUSTED IN OTHERS. WHOLE TESTIMONY OF A WITNESS WHO WILLFULLY HAS TESTIFIED

CONTINUED 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judge reading jury instructions to jury:

1702

FALSELY AS TO A MATERIAL POINT UNLESS FROM ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, YOU SHALL BELIEVE THE PROBABILITY OF TRUTH FAVORS HIS TESTIMONY IN OTHER PARTICULARS.

S TESTIMONY AND THAT OF

OTHERS, IF THERE WERE ANY, DO NOT NECESSARILY MEAN THAT THE

WITH TO SHOW O BE DISCOUNTED BATHURE OF DECOMESTION IS A

ADDED COMMENTARY

TOM

UNCO

SINCE LAZOR WAS NOT ALLOWED TO BACK UP
ANY OF HIS (ATTEMPTED) FAVORABLE TESTIMONY
WITH WITNESSES OR EVIDENCE, EVERYTHING
THAT HINTED AT SELF-DEFENSE LACKED

OR T THAT HINTED AT SELF-DEFENSE LACKED CREDIBLE SUBSTANCE

THER

THEC

11 A DI

10 A

12 | TRI

ITS

SIGNIFICANCE.

YOU ARE NOT BOUND TO DECIDE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE TESTIMONY OF A NUMBER OF WITNESSES WHICH DOES NOT PRODUCE CONVICTION IN YOUR MIND AS AGAINST THE TESTIMONY OF A LESSER NUMBER OR OTHER EVIDENCE WHICH APPEALS TO YOUR MIND WITH MORE CONVINCING FORCE. THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT YOU ARE AT LIBERTY TO DISREGARD THE TESTIMONY OF THE GREATER NUMBER OF WITNESSES MERELY FROM CAPRICE OR PREJUDICE OR FROM A DESIRE TO FAVOR ONE SIDE AS AGAINST THE OTHER. IT MEANS THAT THE FINAL TEST IS NOT IN THE RELATIVE NUMBER OF WITNESSES, BUT IN THE RELATIVE CONVINCING FORCE OF THE EVIDENCE.

TESTIMONY WHICH YOU BELIEVE GIVEN BY ONE WITNESS IS SUFFICIENT FOR THE PROOF OF ANY FACT. HOWEVER, BEFORE FINDING ANY FACT REQUIRED TO BE ESTABLISHED BY THE PROSECUTION TO BE PROVED SOLELY BY THE TESTIMONY OF SUCH A SINGLE WITNESS, YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW ALL THE TESTIMONY UPON WHICH THE PROOF